



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

(A)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,183	03/26/2004	Keiji Shimizu	04200/LH	8009
1933	7590	08/04/2006	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			FINEMAN, LEE A	
220 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
16TH Floor				2872
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,183	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
	Examiner Joshua L. Pritchett <i>[Signature]</i>	Art Unit 2872	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 16-21 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 16-21 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment filed July 13, 2006. Applicant arguments have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 2003/0063376) in view of DeSimmone (US 2003/0086145).

Shimizu teaches a light source (23) which illuminate a specimen; an objective (8) located opposite to the specimen; a field stop projection lens (19), located on an illumination axis between the light source and the objective, to narrow a field of view of the specimen; a digital micromirror device (20) which is conjugate with the specimen via the field stop projection lens and the objective, and which comprises a plurality of two-dimensionally arrayed micromirrors that are individually selectable to be turned on so as to reflect light along the illumination axis to the specimen (paras. 0052-0056); a reflection mirror (21) which reflects illumination light from

the light source onto the digital micromirror device; a dichroic mirror (13) which is located on an observation axis of the objective so as to reflect the illumination light emitted from the light source onto the object and to pass observation light from the objective; an excitation filter (18) which selectively passes light components of the illumination light that are suitable for excitation of a fluorescent material in the specimen; an absorption filter (14) which selectively absorbs light components of the observation light; a camera (25) located on the observation axis to pick up an observation image; a monitor (84) which displays the image picked up by the camera; a drive controller (24) which controls the digital micromirror device; and a computer (85) which controls the drive controller, camera and monitor, such that before picking up an image of the specimen all the micromirrors are turned on while the no illumination light is incident and the image of the specimen is in the field of view of the camera and after the image is picked up the incident light is stopped; the image picked up by the camera is displayed by the monitor, and irradiation area to be irradiated with the illumination light is specified and respective ones of the micromirrors which correspond to the specified irradiation areas are specified and before picking up an image of the sample again only the specified ones of the micromirrors are turned on while there is no incident light and when the light is incident the digital micromirror device light is guided to the specimen via the turned on micromirrors, such that the image is picked up by the camera and then the incident light is stopped (para. 0052-0056). Shimizu lacks reference to a shutter. DeSimmone teaches the use of a shutter (82) located between a light source (46) and a reflection mirror (58) and a shutter (88) located between the digital micromirror device (48) and the field stop projection lens (37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the shutters of DeSimmone in the invention of Shimizu for the purpose of blocking the

incident light to the digital micromirror device while the computer determines which micromirrors to activate, thus allowing the light source to remain on constantly and prolong the life of the light source. Many light sources are most vulnerable to failure at the moment the light is turned on, because of the rapid heating of the source and varying thermal expansion coefficients of the components of the light source. By including the shutters of DeSimmone the Shimizu reference would not longer need to turn the light source on and off during the adjustment and calculation phase and would therefore prolong the life of the light source.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed July 13, 2006, are persuasive. Applicant argued the newly presented claims 16-21 should be examined because they fall within the scope of the previously elected claims. The examiner agrees the claims 16-21 should be examined because applicant previously elected species of Fig. 1 which includes the limitations of the newly present claims. Claims 16-21 have been examined.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joshua L Pritchett
Examiner
Art Unit 2872



DREW A. DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER